

MEMORANDUM

CH2M HILL

TO: Rick Woodard

COPIES: Carol Howe
Ron Ott

FROM: John Dickey/RDD

DATE: November 16, 1996

As specified at yesterday's planning meeting for the Nov. 20 Water Quality Team meeting, this memo and attachment describes what I plan to present for the "actions" agenda item. Judy will have just completed the component refinement process, our component being the water quality program. I will jump off from there...

1. **Definition of actions.** The actions are the nuts and bolts of the program; they are the way that Calfed will seek to achieve acceptable levels of water quality for beneficial uses.
2. **Origins of actions.** Lists of actions were generated during Phase I. The subteams evaluated these lists, reformulated some actions, deleted some, added others. The list provided in the Water Quality Team's packet is the refined list.
3. **The need to focus.** The refined actions numbered 31, too many to study and develop in detail for a program. Also, there appeared to be consensus that some of the actions offered little promise, and did not justify intensive study. We needed to shorten the list.
4. **Evaluation of actions' potential to achieve water quality goals.** WQ Team members' collective knowledge base was judged sufficient to provide a gross, preliminary evaluation of actions' potential to cost-effectively contribute to the program. Each sub-team rated each action's potential as either high, moderate, or low. Team members were asked to consider actions from the perspective of all water users, while informing the team relative to their own special perspective.
5. **Results of evaluation.** Nine actions were judged high by more than one sub-team. In addition, a new action was proposed by the Urban sub-team. (Present nine actions with brief rationale for inclusion of each. Present proposed action.)
6. **Expected water quality impacts.** (Present matrix of actions, parameters of concern, and expected impacts. Draw conclusion relative to the apparent completeness of the list relative to water quality objectives.)
7. **Team input.** We need to review this list, collect comment and correction, then finalize a list for further analysis and development.

The matrix in point 7 is being finalized by Carol, with input from us on ag. parameters. The table of actions' evaluation is attached, and I will have Hope Thayer send you a copy on a diskette, along with the actions list. Expect it Tuesday. I will be at Calfed Wednesday morning.

RDD/SCRIPT.DOC

1

NOV-19-1996 11:29

916 243 1654

98%

P.03

D - 0 3 2 9 9 8

D-032998